

**MINUTES OF THE
WEST LAFAYETTE REDEVELOPMENT COMMISSION
August 20, 2007**

Redevelopment Commission members present: Patsy Hoyer, Larry Oates, Earle Nay, and Diane Damico. Also in attendance: Mayor Jan Mills, Clerk-Treasurer Judy Rhodes, City Attorney Bob Bauman, Fire Chief Phil Drew, Joe Hornett and Greg Deason of Purdue Research Foundation, Tom Gall of T. J. Gall & Associates, Josh Andrew, Charlotte Martin, Beverly Shaw, and Deborah Kervin of the Department of Development, and citizens and members of the media.

Ms. Hoyer called the meeting to order at 12:04 p.m. Ms. Hoyer asked were all the appropriate meeting notices and agendas posted and mailed? Ms. Kervin answered yes, they were.

OLD BUSINESS

Mr. Nay made a motion to approve the July 16, 2007 minutes. Ms. Damico seconded. Ms. Hoyer asked if there were any corrections. There were none. The motion to approve the minutes as presented passed unanimously 3-0.

NEW BUSINESS

Ms. Hoyer said the first item of business is the authorization of the trustee to pay claims. Mr. Oates made a motion to pay the claims as presented. Mr. Nay seconded.

Tom Gall, of T. J. Gall and Associates, gave an update on the projects that he was working on. He said the whole redevelopment of the Chauncey Square area, the storm and sanitary sewers are in and functioning. At Dave Downey's direction, and with the Engineering Department's help, we've installed some pervious asphalt and concrete as test areas on each side of Salisbury from South Street to just short of what we call the Triple XXX Drive. It appears to be functioning great. There's a lot to learn about it as time goes on, to see how well it functions. We just watched it now. The water gets to that part and just goes away. In that particular area we've got nothing but pure gravel under it to soak the water up. What's interesting is, there is a lot of water in the storm from up by Columbia, which we've now separated, and so a huge volume of water went down and not in to the sanitary sewer. So really the volume of water that didn't go into the sanitary sewer today was massive. From that perspective, that piece is working really well.

Mr. Gall continued with we will begin curb, gutter and sidewalk work around the Chauncey development on your behalf next week at some point. They're making pretty big strides at cleaning up the outside and getting out of our way so we can get started doing that work without it being damaged.

Mr. Oates asked do we have any idea when that building will open?

Mr. Gall said our job is to get curb, gutter and sidewalk as we've agreed to do, as soon as we have access to the space and we will do that. We've utilized the street resurfacing contract to do that work and the purchase order will be issued from the funds that you've already set aside for that purpose. It's certainly been a challenge over there, but Atlas got in and did a great job of getting out of the way within the time frames that they were given. Mr. Gall said we'll be back working on South Street once they get done with their part next year.

We'll continue to monitor how our plantings have done out on the Sagamore Parkway midway once we get into the fall and see what kind of damage has been done with all this temperature and dryness.

Mr. Gall continued with the garage, all the work that we were going to do this summer has been completed. I wanted to have John Most come up and take a look. Next month, I plan to update you on what has been done and what remains to be done in the garage.

Mr. Gall said the extension of Nighthawk Trail has been done for awhile now. With fall coming up, we'll landscape that and clean up the yard and get the trees and things that are to be planted to go along with that. That's been up and functioning now for several months.

Mr. Oates asked Chief Drew how are things doing at the fire station? Chief Drew said the temporary fire station is up and operational. Mr. Oates said is the work completed? Chief Drew answered it's not 100% complete, no. We've got exterior work to do and some interior things left to do. We're actually making out a punch list over the past several days of some things that we've noticed aren't quite right. They're going to fix those things before they wrap up the interior work and then they'll finish the exterior. They have some siding to do on the back and the siding on the front and on the west side needs to be finished. It was planned that would be left until later because that won't interfere with our operating conditions. Mr. Oates said I noticed that a big portion of the money came back to us. It appears that we've reduced some of our costs. What did we end up with as far as on the budget? Chief Drew said \$589,000 was appropriated. I don't think we have the final number yet, but we're closer to \$500,000 than we are to \$589,000.

Ms. Hoyer asked are there any other questions about the payment of the claims?

There was no further discussion on the claims. The motion to approve the payment of claims passed unanimously 3-0.

Ms. Hoyer said the next item of business is **Resolution RC 2007-13** which is to amend the boundaries of the Certified Technology Park.

City Attorney, Bob Bauman, said we have some people here from Purdue Research Foundation and it would be a good opportunity for them to give us an update which really will relate to both of the next two items.

Mr. Joe Hornett, Senior Vice President, Treasurer and CEO Purdue Research Foundation, said in essence what is going on with the first resolution is that we have had discussions with the Indiana Economic Development Corporation (IEDC) about expanding the boundaries of the Tech Park. When we first applied for Tech Park status, and because it's a brand new statute, at that time there was some thinking that maybe we would actually take down different boundaries over time so that the tax increment associated with new boundaries would be recharged. The way that the program has been administered, it is now clear that that's not how it's going to be. The IEDC has said we will allow you a one-time expansion of your boundaries. What we are doing, or seeking your help to do, with the resolution before you, is to incorporate what we call Phase III and Phase IV of the Purdue Research Park. It has been part of our master plan all along, but it has been deliberately excluded when we first applied for Certified Tech Park status. Generally speaking, it's the area that is north of Kalberer Road and bounded by the cemetery on one side and Salisbury on the other and cornfields on the northern side of the boundary. By bringing the 300+ acres that would be taken into account there, this would allow us to continue to draw down tax increment and those kinds of things as businesses move into that portion of the Park. We do have an attractive proposal outstanding. If that lands, and there is a very good chance, the company that is involved in that attractive proposal will want to go north of Kalberer Road and have a fairly sizeable presence. That's another reason to bring this in to the Tech Park boundaries at this time. Mr. Hornett continued as most of you know, we have the happy occasion of Med Institute deciding to take all of the former Great Lakes Chemical Building. One of the things that the folks from Med Institute, as well as the Cook Group out of Bloomington, were quite interested in, was making sure that they remained in the Certified Technology Park. Part of the resolution that is also before you expands the boundaries across U.S. 52 to take in Med Institute and a couple of the other structures that are there as well. So again, this will not raise that tax increment that we're eligible for, but it does open up the area where it will be applied. In all honesty, chances are we'll hit our \$5M cap in the phase that we're currently developing right now which is known as Phase II.

Mr. Greg Deason, Vice President Real Estate and Research Park Development Purdue Research Park, pointed out the area on the map for the Commissioners and those present. He said the program has worked. We've used the increments to date primarily for expanding our fiber infrastructure. The future plan would be to come before this group and ask for funding that would support a new incubator project because we've had great success in drawing in small, early stage companies. Some of the grant money from the same program was used to expand the Purdue Technology Center when we built the large Phase, and allowed us to do some wet-labs and so forth, which I'm glad to say managed to stay full since the moment we opened that phase of the building. We're continuing to see great growth and believe we're going to continue that into the future. It's been very helpful to see that continue to have momentum.

Mr. Hornett said I know we've got another resolution, but you've seen the materials concerning recertification. West Lafayette, I believe, set the standards, since this is the first Certified Technology Park in the state. We're the first program to go through recertification, which is the second resolution you have today. I think that you can see from the material that was prepared, this program—at least in West Lafayette—is doing exactly what its supposed to do in terms of producing growth and jobs in the current boundaries from 572 to almost 1,300 jobs and more importantly, a growth in payroll from \$28M annually to more than \$65M annually. As Greg pointed out, with some of the early money that we received, we expanded our current flagship incubator. It was completely full in a matter of six months. We have plans on the drawing board right now for another 100,000 square foot facility. All you have to do is go through the park and you can see that there is growth that is still occurring; the MRI facility is going up and nearing completion, the daycare center is done, the International Technology Center has filled quite nicely. The growth is continuing and we see no let up in that.

Mr. Hornett continued with maybe if I was the Redevelopment Commission, the only question that I would have is that we've recently made headlines about the addition of two other research parks; one is in New Albany that is under construction and more recently another 78 acres that we are going to develop in Indianapolis. One of the things that I want to assure you of is, the attention from what we're doing here will not be diverted. In fact, one of the things that we are absolutely sure of is that the other parks away from West Lafayette don't work unless this one is working well. The research that goes on here at the University is what drives the business growth in this research park and as we have seen in Merrillville, the linkage to Purdue, West Lafayette is absolutely essential; it doesn't work apart from it. So to the extent that you might think that we're stretching ourselves thin, I would probably tell you that's probably a little true, but in terms of priority and where our attention will be focused, this is the battleship, this is the aircraft carrier; the fleet doesn't do well if this boat isn't sailing well. We will not be deterred in that in any way, shape or form. Are there any questions?

Mr. Nay said there's some kind of park being developed west of Anderson. Is Purdue a component to it? It's called Flagship. Mr. Hornett said it's primarily an engagement initiative and that involves classes for Purdue technology degrees which is half of what we will be doing down in New Albany, Indiana. It's also what we've done up in Merrillville, but the only involvement we've had in Merrillville is the construction of a learning center. The classes are being offered by Purdue Calumet. Mr. Deason added we've had interaction with Anderson just in terms of sharing best practices on how to run a research park and incubation program. It was kind of an unusual addition. Their incubator is sort of following some of the models of what we've been doing with expanding more classroom space. They've added resident space so that the students are actually going to reside there now. It's not an official research park activity. We occasionally get called down there as consultants to help them understand the dynamics and so forth. Mr. Nay said so it isn't looking forward to certified technical park status? Mr. Deason said they already are, but Anderson University, in order to attract more of the technology credibility, really wanted to see Purdue's name up on the building besides theirs and that's exactly what they did.

Mr. Nay asked where are the City's northern boundaries? This would all be inside the City boundaries? Mr. Bauman said yes. Mr. Oates said the map that's in the Exhibit B to the Resolution RC 2007-13, is that showing what we're expanding the Certified Technology Park to? Mr. Deason said it actually shows the entire amount of the phase that is already in plus the additional area. Mr. Nay said so it's four times the acreage. Mr. Deason said correct.

Ms. Hoyer said could you review the \$5M cap? Mr. Bauman said under the State statute, as it currently sits, the increment from the payroll and sales taxes are capped at \$5M. Ms. Hoyer said so with our four-year renewal, which would continue until we reach the \$5M cap and then what? Mr. Bauman said it's currently capped. The question is whether the legislature might change that at some time. Our previous legislature also appropriated some money for grants in connection with certified tech parks and we were the recipient of one of those grants. If not in the next four years, shortly thereafter if not sooner, I would expect that we would reach the cap. Mayor Mills added we've actually got two grants. Ms. Hoyer said things are going very well.

Mr. Oates said do I understand the resolution correctly that currently all of this area is already in our TIF district? Mr. Bauman said no, the portion north of Kalberer Road is not. Mr. Andrew said we're waiting to find out from PRF (Purdue Research Foundation) what portion of that area north they want to include, because we're limited to the amount we can bring in each time; somewhere around 140 or 150 acres. Ms. Rhodes said isn't that amount based on the amount you can do without Council approval, not the amount of enlarging the district? That's the difference, if you go to the Council, you can make it any size you want, isn't that correct? Mr. Andrew said I don't believe so, we've been told 140 acres. Clerk-Treasurer Rhodes said 20% is the amount you can do without council approval. Mr. Andrew said that is correct.

Mr. Hornett said I would like to point out that recertification is not a slam dunk. We're not anticipating any difficulties, but I do think that one of the things that you will see of the 18 state-wide certified technology parks, I do think you will see a number of those that will not receive on-going recertification. I do think that is one of the outcomes of the act that was passed by the House.

Mr. Oates said the fourth "WHEREAS" clause in this resolution, RC 2007-13, states we've determined not to include in the CTP agreement the capture of property tax increment in the CTP because the Purdue Research Park is located within the boundaries of the Kalberer/Cumberland/Blackbird Allocation Area. Mr. Bauman said *part* of it is within the current boundaries and we would expect as development occurs in some of that area north to request that that be included as well. Mr. Oates said okay, the technology park that we're expanding right now, we're not including any of that into the TIF district as of this date. Mr. Bauman said correct. Mr. Oates said at this point, what we're going to do is ask that this be expanded as Certified Technology Park, but there will be no different tax treatment on this plan. Mr. Bauman said right, we're just capturing the income and sales tax at this point.

Mr. Nay said I asked earlier about Phase I and the fact that it wasn't included in the CTP. I assume part of that is because there are properties that you don't own and it would require stating that these weren't direct technology companies, is that correct? Mr. Hornett answered it has less to do with that than the fact that it was fully developed. The advantage for businesses of the CTP program is capturing the increment so that you can re-invest back in infrastructure. Since that was fully developed, we basically said there's really no chance to capture increment there. All the growth was going to take place on the north side of Cumberland. That's why we drew the boundaries as they were. As I pointed out earlier, we are active on the other side, even though it's not part of the boundaries. We've just acquired the old IBM building with the idea of being adjacent to the old business and technology center, that at some point, this is a premier corner location. We would level both of those and probably put up another large incubation facility there. At the south end of Yeager Road is the old Endocyte facility, the Hentschel Center; those buildings are all older. At some point we will make the decision to bring those down and then redevelop that area. Just because Phase I is not part of the boundaries, it does not in any way signify an abandonment of the old part of the Park. In fact, we're very active as yet. We wanted to make sure that that front to the Park will wind up representing the overall development well.

Mr. Nay said I understand some of the obvious advantages for a company to locate in this area. Are there any other not quite so obvious advantages for a company to boast that they are in the Certified Technology Park? Ms. Hoyer said I have a similar question. What sorts of criteria do you use when someone wants to come in to the Park? Mr. Hornett said the biggest thing that we look at, and it goes in a variety of different directions, we look for a tangible connection to Purdue University. It's not enough just for a company to want to come into the Research Park. We're looking for a variety of interaction with students, with faculty, with our technology, with the equipment that the University has to offer and the various programs that we have to offer. First and foremost, we look for an ongoing relationship to the University, because our experience tells us that if it's just about real estate there's plenty of that that can be acquired. But, the plus that is there is the relationship to the University and their world-class faculty, the facilities, great graduates, and those types of things and the support services that are offered within the Research Park. So that's what we look for more than we do anything else, or a company that is going to provide services to companies in the Park, which is the case of how the fitness club got there and why it is actually enjoying a great deal of success because people in the Park are using it.

Ms. Hoyer said I've recently attended quite a few neighborhood meetings and it's very interesting the number of people who are living here because they've just gotten jobs at the Research Park and moved into West Lafayette. There seems to be quite a few who've purchased homes and are living in the City. Mr. Deason said we're seeing a real interest in that when we're recruiting a company, especially anyone who has children. Many of them have PhDs, or they have advanced degrees and they want their children to pursue academic careers. West Lafayette High School is a huge selling point for that. Amberleigh Village, University Farm and other areas of West Lafayette have been very popular with that group.

Mr. Oates said I have a question that goes back to what Earle (Nay) was asking before and it goes back to something Joe (Hornett) said before. You indicated that Med Institute and Cook absolutely wanted to be in the Certified Technology Park. Why? Mr. Hornett answered there's a certain caché that goes with being part of the Certified Technology Park Program. The State of Indiana, through the IEDC (Indiana Economic Development Council) actually does promote this on a national basis. When they are trying to attract companies here, they highlight the fact that there are these certified technology parks. So it is used at the State level as a recruiting tool. *We* feature it as a recruiting tool and the fact that we were the first ones. It *does* matter and when you talk about there being some public funding available to invest back in infrastructure, we talk right away about the fiber capacity that is available out in the Park. Just like the railroads used to be, the same where the interstate highways used to be was why companies located where they are. It's the technological infrastructure now that's more important than anything else. It's something that you can highlight as there really is funding in place to take care of those kinds of things. It does matter.

Mr. Gall said another thing that I think many people don't realize is the absolute unintended consequential benefits of the Park and its companies. This year for the first time, we have almost \$4,000 of support for the Jazz and Blues Festival from companies in the Research Park. We got a great response from four companies in the Park, who were happy to be a part of the success of the downtown. So they're here and I'm sure there are many other ways that they are giving back.

Mr. Oates made a resolution to approve Resolution RC 2007-13 to expand the Certified Technology Park. Mr. Nay seconded.

There was no further discussion and the motion passed unanimously 3-0.

Mr. Bauman said I would note that because of the language of the statute, the agreement is entered into by the Redevelopment Commission and there will be a resolution for the Council as well.

Ms. Hoyer stated the next item of business is **Resolution RC 2007-14** which is for the Recertification of the Certified Technology Park.

Mr. Oates made a motion to approve Resolution RC 2007-14 which calls for the Recertification of the Certified Technology Park.

Mr. Bauman said in the information that you have, there are a lot of long tables, but it's interesting because each one of these entries represent an important success story and building block of the Research Park and the success that's going out the way they are using that commercialized technology developed at Purdue.

Mr. Oates said in this information, there was a listing of businesses that didn't quite make it. How are we on a national level compared as far as those businesses that aren't quite making it in the Certified Tech Park? Mr. Hornett answered the national statistics will tell you that somewhere in the neighborhood of one out of every two will

fail within the first five years. On that scale, we do quite well. I would also say that it is something that we're even getting better on. I'm trying to think of failures in the last several years, and we've had some relocations and those kinds of things.

Mr. Deason said the data may be just a little misleading as well. GH for example moved, so they're not technically in the boundaries, but they are within eyesight of the Purdue Technology Center. Purdue University had some related activities, like a couple of companies that were some department activities, but those were the major ones. The largest share of those where you had a head count involved are still alive and well in other locations. We have had a few for whatever reason their idea turned out to be wrong. We've done consistently well compared to statistics that would say with an incubator project if you've got 2 out 10 that fail, you're still doing very, very well.

Ms. Hoyer asked if there were any other questions. There were no further questions and the motion to approve Resolution RC 2007-14 passed unanimously 3-0.

Ms. Hoyer said the next item of business is **Resolution RC 2007-15** which requests the Transfer of Funds related to Fire Station No. 1 from Account 442 Motor Equipment to Account 444 Other Equipment.

Mr. Oates made a motion to approve Resolution RC 2007-15. Mr. Nay seconded.

Ms. Hoyer asked Chief Drew to explain the transfer. Chief Drew said we're going to use these funds to replace aging equipment on the trucks and also for the purchase of additional equipment that's needed for firefighting on the south end of town. Mr. Oates said so basically this comes out of the appropriation that we made for \$450,000 for the replacement engine for Station No.1. We got that truck for under \$450,000 so we have some funding left that we can put towards new equipment. Chief Drew said yes. Mr. Oates said so the funding is going towards that station? Chief Drew said yes.

Mr. Bauman said Phil can tell you about all the remodeling and modernization the firefighters did themselves at the station. Chief Drew said yes, there's been quite an extensive remodeling done at Station No. 1. It's mostly the second level. There were a lot of functions that occurred out of that building. It's now a very modern second level with good quality work that has been done there by the firefighters. We've upgraded the plumbing and the electric. We now have adequate lighting, good sleeping areas, a very functional kitchen, and an updated bathroom. A lot of remodeling was done with the intent of keeping the original character of the building. The windows and floor have been restored to the original wood. That project cost us about \$70,000. If we would have hired that project out, it would have been well over a \$200,000 to \$250,000 range. Even more so than the money, it's the quality of work that's up there.

Mr. Nay asked how many staff does it sleep? Chief Drew said four. In the upstairs level there are four sleeping bedrooms. Mr. Bauman stated when we have a fire in the City, more than one firehouse responds. That's where we get the additional personnel to address the fire. Certainly that firehouse is very important because we have

a lot of high-density housing in that area and so the life safety implications of a quick response time are essential.

Ms. Damico said the Account 444 Other Equipment, what kind of things would that be? Chief Drew said that will replace old hose, nozzles and a major item which is a thermal imaging camera. That is something that is a newer technology that's been developed. That's a piece of equipment that is expensive but has proven to be very useful in fire service, particularly in modern construction. The thermal imaging camera can give us a tool to help us identify when a floor will hold our weight or is ready to collapse. Mr. Oates said doesn't it also help you to find children who may be hiding during a fire? Chief Drew said yes, what it does is take a picture based on the temperature differences and so it would identify a person, or a hidden fire in a wall. Unfortunately, a child's natural instinct is to hide during a fire and this would allow us to identify a temperature difference and give us an indication that there might be a person hiding. Mr. Oates said it's very worthwhile technology.

Ms. Hoyer asked if there was any other discussion. Ms. Martin said you are also giving the Board of Works the authorization to handle all the claims for these specific pieces of equipment. Chief Drew said let's say a claim comes in within the past couple of days and the vendor is used to getting paid in 2 – 3 weeks. If we set it up so that the Board of Works can authorize it, we can keep to that payment schedule rather than have them wait up to six weeks. We also need to address that situation for Station No. 3.

There was no further discussion and the motion to approve Resolution RC 2007-15 passed unanimously 3-0.

Mr. Oates made a motion that under **Resolution RC 2007-16** that the Redevelopment Commission allow the City of West Lafayette Board of Public Works and Safety to be the approving agent for payment of any claims regarding equipment for Fire Station No. 3. Mr. Nay seconded.

There was no further discussion and the motion to approve Resolution RC 2007-16 passed unanimously 3-0.

Ms. Hoyer said the last item of business is the **Tax Increment Replacement Levy** worksheets and we are not going to accept the replacement tax. Mr. Nay made a motion to accept the Tax Increment Replacement Levy Worksheets as submitted. Ms. Damico seconded.

Mr. Oates said Josh, what are we doing here? Mr. Andrew said we're just not taking the increment. Richard Treptow (Umbaugh and Associates) presented it to you at the last meeting and he has his financial analysis attached. Mr. Bauman said this is the same as we have done in the past. Ms. Hoyer said this is the excess. Mr. Oates said did we vote on this at the last meeting? Ms. Hoyer said no, we didn't vote on it, we just discussed it. Mr. Andrew said we just got the worksheets.

Mr. Bauman said the replacement levy; the State pays a replacement credit which reduces people's property tax bills and then goes to fund part of the levy for all the underlying jurisdictions. In a TIF district, they don't pay that and so the Redevelopment Commission has the authority to charge an additional amount to recover that. We have never charged that additional amount and based on this report, we are proposing that we again not collect that additional amount. We've decided that we can do without collecting that additional amount. Mr. Andrew said that is what the report shows.

Ms. Hoyer asked if there were any additional questions or discussion.

Mr. Oates said just so that I understand, I noticed that the total net assessed value on all three of these districts is exactly the same. Is that for the entire district as a whole? Ms. Hoyer said he (Richard Treptow) takes the whole City as a whole, because the tax replacement is city-wide, not just in the TIFs. This was initially intended as a make up for some reassessments so if the Redevelopment Commissions throughout the State ended up short on their TIF money that they collected and were going to be short and unable to pay out bonds, then this tax would be city-wide to pay the difference so that they could meet their bond payments. Mr. Oates said so it's basically a safety valve, then? Ms. Rhodes said it was triggered when the State started paying such large PTRC (Property Tax Replacement Credit) to the general fund for the school corp.

There were no further questions and the motion to approve the Tax Increment Replacement Levy worksheets as submitted passed unanimously 3-0.

Ms. Hoyer said the last item of business is the Public Comment.

Ms. Rhodes said has the Commission reviewed the reports on your increments. The distribution was distributed this August, have you reviewed that? Mr. Andrew said yes. Ms. Rhodes continued with the increment in the Levee/Village TIF district was much larger than was anticipated. Mr. Andrew said the payment was. The amount itself was \$1.9M, and they paid us \$1.2M in the spring, which leaves us with a balance of \$700,000 in the fall. We're curious as to why we got the \$1.2M. Ms. Hoyer said we didn't get half. We got more than half so we are not anticipating an equal half coming in fall. We're expecting less. Ms. Rhodes said what is that due to, a computation or a prepayment? Mr. Andrew said you might want to talk to Jennifer (Weston, Tippecanoe County Auditor) because she was telling me that more paid in than would normally pay in, but I don't think that's the case. Mayor Mills said the bottom line is the TIF grew a little, but it didn't grow that much. Mr. Oates said is that the only district that had that happen? Mr. Andrew answered yes. Ms. Martin said they (Auditor's office) gave us information after they billed as to what we could expect from each district and that particular one came in much larger than half. Mr. Andrew said we researched everything and were unable to figure out what the reason was.

Ms. Rhodes said there has been an increase in the Levee/Village and KCB TIF districts, but the Sagamore district is quite flat. Mr. Oates said have we seen any growth in the Sagamore district? Mr. Bauman said I would expect that as the redevelopment of the K-Mart property proceeds, we may see a more significant rise there. Dave Buck has

received an update on plans for that property and the developer is anticipating moving ahead with various parts of that project within the next year. There was further discussion about the State's requirements for the entrance and the process of getting access from U.S. 52.

The Commission confirmed the following meetings:

Monday, September 24 at 12:00 noon
Monday, October 22 at 12:00 noon

Mr. Hoyer asked if there was any other public comment for the Commission. Mr. Oates asked Chief Drew if the Commission could have a tour of the Temporary Fire Station. **The Commission agreed to tour the Temporary Fire Station after the September meeting.**

Mr. Oates made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Mr. Nay seconded. The meeting adjourned at 1:02 p.m.

Lawrence T. Oates
Recording Secretary

Approved:

Patricia R. Hoyer, Vice-President

/djk